

Quality Health Associates of North Dakota

Introduction

Quality Health Associates of North Dakota (QHA) is partnering with primary care clinics in North Dakota to increase CRC screening rates for rural, frontier and Native American populations. QHA's strategy includes completion of a comprehensive readiness assessment to guide development of clinic-specific action plans for implementing at least two evidence-based interventions to address CRC screening.

QHA staff will support your clinic by providing both individual technical assistance and a rapid-action collaborative structure. QHA will share resources, tools and materials; conduct site visits and coaching calls to assess progress; identify barriers; and develop mitigation strategies. QHA staff will help your clinic staff leverage your electronic health records (EHRs) to collect and report CRC screening program measures.

Purpose

This readiness assessment will be used to assess your clinic's current processes associated with CRC screening of patients; capacity for data collection including the ability of your clinic's EHR to report on patient demographics and screening rates; capacity for implementing evidence-based interventions (EBIs), current processes and workflows for CRC screening; and leadership support for implementing EBIs.

Contents

Clinic Readiness Assessment	
Introduction	
Purpose	
Revisions	2
Part I: Clinic Characteristics and Demographics	
Part II: Patient Characteristics	
Part III: Clinic Practices and Policies	3
Part IV: Patient Flow	3
Part V: Screening and Results Tracking	3
Part VI: Rescreening	4
Part VII: Documentation and Electronic Health Record Utilization	4
Part VIII: Electronic Health Records for Process Improvement	4
Part IX: Community Preventive Service Task Force (CPSTF)	4
Part X: Impact of COVID-19	5

Revisions

		Name					Title		Date
Assessment Revisions									
[1 . =:			
Respondent Nar	me				Res	oondent Tit	le		
	•								
Part I. Clinic Ch	aracteristics and	Demogra	nhics						
		Demogra	рисз						
Facility Name									1
Facility Location	1	City						State	Zip
City, State, Zip								ND	
Facility Type		□ FQHC	\Box RHC	\square IHS	□HPSA	A □Integra	ited Health Syste	m □Privately	owned
Health System I	Name								
Health System (Hospita	ls		Clir	nics	Other		
Number of facilities									
		Prov	viders/Cli	nicians		Nur	sing	Suppo	rt Staff
Staff Composition	on								
Community Con	nposition			Urban				Rural	
Percent of patient por									

Part II: Patient Characteristics

Patient Population	The total num	nber of patients a	ged 50 to 74 years wit	th at least one me	dical visit during the	e designated repor	ting year.	
Population Race	Gender	American Indian or Alaskan Native	Asian	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	Other	White Hispanic or Latino	White Not Hispanic or Latino
and Gender Percent of patient	Male							
population	Female							
	Other							
Insurance Percent of patient population	Group Co	overage	Private Coverage	e Me	dicare	Medicaid	l	Jninsured

Part III: Clinic Practices and Policies

Mark each row using the following scale: 1 Strongly Disagree 2 Disagree 3 Neither Agree nor Disagree 4 Agree 5 Strongly Agree	1	2	3	4	5
Colorectal cancer screening quality improvement initiatives are currently planned or initiated.					
Up-to-date policies or standing orders are in place regarding colorectal cancer screening. Upload Policy					
Training and reinforcement practices support standing orders.					
Leadership generally supports preventive care and specifically prioritizes colorectal cancer screening.					
Designated staff member(s) or administrator(s) champion colorectal cancer screening initiatives.					
Protocols are available to determine a patient's eligibility for colorectal cancer screening.					
Existing protocols to determine a patient's screening eligibility include age, risk, and screening results.					
Existing protocols to determine a patient's screening eligibility are actively used.					
Staff member(s) are responsible for identifying patients due for screening.					
Processes are used to identify patients due for screening, noting patient files, alerting patients that they are due, and flagging files for provider reminders.					
Tasks associated with screening policies are identified in staff member job descriptions.					

Part IV: Patient Flow

Mark each row using the following scale: 1 Strongly Disagree 2 Disagree 3 Neither Agree nor Disagree 4 Agree 5 Strongly Agree	1	2	3	4	5
Educational materials are visible or provided to all patients during their visit.					
Colorectal cancer screening is always discussed with eligible patients during their visit.					
An algorithm, including age, risk factors and date of last completed screening, is used to determine recommended screening practice.					
Staff member(s) are designated to order screening tests for eligible patients.					
Measures are taken to ensure an eligible patient has received a recommendation or referral during their visit and before leaving the clinic.					
Patients are educated on how to complete the screening test.					
Patients who can not be screened or scheduled for screening during current appointment are flagged for follow-up.					

Part V: Screening and Results Tracking

Mark each row using the following scale: 1 Strongly Disagree 2 Disagree 3 Neither Agree nor Disagree 4 Agree 5 Strongly Agree	1	2	3	4	5
A clinical process exists to determine when a screening test is completed and how the information is documented.					
A protocol exists for contacting patients who have not completed an ordered or scheduled screening test.					
Patients are informed of negative/normal results and notifications are documented.					
Patients are informed of positive/abnormal results and notifications are documented.					
Staff members arrange follow-up testing, including scheduling the colonoscopy, reviewing prep instructions with the patient, and reviewing insurance coverage with the patient.					
Staff members follow up with specialty care to ensure the patient has received the scheduled test, obtain and document the results, and prepare any further follow up or documentation.					

Part VI: Rescreening

Mark each row using the following scale: 1 Strongly Disagree 2 Disagree 3 Neither Agree nor Disagree 4 Agree 5 Strongly Agree			3	4	5
The clinic tracks when patients are due for regular CRC screening.					
Staff ask about previous CRC screening if none are known or documented.					
Staff have a process for obtaining past screening results if unknown.					

Part VII: Documentation and Electronic Health Record Utilization

Mark each row using the following scale: 1 Strongly Disagree 2 Disagree 3 Neither Agree nor Disagree 4 Agree 5 Strongly Agree	1	2	3	4	5
Population Management tools or modules are utilized within the Electronic Health Record system.					
Documentation practices are standardized across staff and consistently communicated during training.					

Electronic Health Record System Name	
Version Number(s)	
Date Implemented:	
Population Management Module(s)	
Our EHR will be changed entirely or	
upgraded: (approximate date)	

Part VIII: Electronic Health Records for Process Improvement

Mark each row using the following scale: 1 Strongly Disagree 2 Disagree 3 Neither Agree nor Disagree 4 Agree 5 Strongly Agree	1	2	3	4	5
Reports are generated to identify when patients are due for screening.					
Reports are generated to pre-screen scheduled patients' records to facilitate provider recommendations.					
Alerts are currently automatically generated for patient reminders, such as a letter or text sent to the patient.					
The clinic currently submits data to quality standards reporting system(s) such as HEDIS or UDS.					
Use the following questions to describe the capabilities of the Electronic Health Record system, whether or not these features	are u	ısed.			
Clinic staff can modify the EHR to generate specific reports as needed.					
Alerts can be created for provider reminders.					
Reports can be generated for Colorectal Cancer screening completion rates by provider, care team, and/or aggregate clinic.					

Part IX: Community Preventive Service Task Force (CPSTF)

Determine the degree to which the following CPSTF recommended strategies (listed in The Community Guide) are in place.

Mark each row using the following scale: 1 Strongly Disagree 2 Disagree 3 Neither Agree nor Disagree 4 Agree 5 Strongly Agree	1	2	3	4	5
Provider Assessment and Feedback					
Provider Reminders					
Patient Reminders					
Reducing Structural Barriers					

Part X: Impact of COVID-19

Clinic Closure	\square Yes, Clinic closed for a week or more because of COVID-19.
	If checked, # of weeks
	\square No, clinic did not close
Hours Reduced	☐ Yes, Reduced Hours Open per day
	If checked, Open from AM/PM to AM/PM, for weeks.
	☐ Yes, Reduced Days per Week
	If checked, # of days per week the clinic was closed, for weeks.
	\square No, clinic did not reduce hours
CRC screening negatively	□ Yes
impacted by COVID-19	\square Clinic visits were restricted to sick patients, with limited or no preventive care available
	\square Clinic visits were limited to patients at high risk for colorectal cancer or with symptoms
	for colorectal cancer
	☐ Clinic visits were telehealth/telemedicine only
	☐ Clinic could not refer average risk patients for screening colonoscopies due to limited
	availability of endoscopic services
	☐ Patients cancelled or did not schedule appointments due to COVID concerns
	☐ Other, Please specify:
	\square No, CRC Screening was not negatively impacted by COVID-19
Negative Impact on Evidence	□ Yes
Based Intervention	☐ Patient Reminder Activities
	☐ Provider Reminder Activities
	☐ Provider Assessment and Feedback
	☐ Reducing Structural Barriers
	☐ Patient Navigation Activities
	☐ Other, Please specify:
	☐ No, there was no impact on Evidence Based Interventions

This publication is supported by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) under cooperative agreement NU58DP006762 awarded to Quality Health Associates of North Dakota. It contents are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the official views of CDC.